

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/918,715	08/01/2001		Brad St. Croix	001107.00134	2480	
22907	7590	11/29/2006		EXAMINER		
BANNER &)FF	YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H			
SUITE 1100	261 14 44			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20001	1643			

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of FIHS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPT 1 138(a), in no owen, however, may a realy te strely filled acted SK, (a) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is excelled above, the reasoname statistics of the cover shape and will expire SK (6) MOSTHS from the realing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is excelled above, the reasoname statistics of the source will apply and will expire SK (6) MOSTHS from the realing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is excelled above, the reasoname statistics of the source will apply and will expire SK (6) MOSTHS from the realing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is excelled above, the reasoname statistics of the source will apply and will expire SK (6) MOSTHS from the realing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is excelled the second will apply and will expire SK (6) MOSTHS from the realing date of this communication, which is acted to the second will apply and will expire SK (6) MOSTHS from the realing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is excelled the second will apply and will expire SK (6) MOSTHS from the realing date of this communication. Application is expired to communication and the second provided of the source of the second will apply and and apply and		09/918,715	ST. CROIX ET AL.	
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Entertains to the many by a windles under the provision of 37 CPR 1.1840, in no event, however, may a reply be simily filled If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory produce via apply and vial expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failine to reply within the sort or endered period for ring vial by statutes, capital expansions from APARIDONED (31 U. S. C. § 1.33). Any reply received by within the sort or endered period for ring vial by statutes, capital expansions are produced any statutes. Status Status No specification is FINAL 2b This action is non-final.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extra State of the Party is available under the protections of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be lenshy fleed Experience of the Party is available under the protections of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be lenshy fleed If No pariod for reply is pecified above, the maintains under the protection of the Party of the Party villable in the second party of the second party of the second party villable in the second party of the second party villable of the second party		Christopher H. Yaen	1643	
A) Claim(s) 1-10 and 18-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1	Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 Me DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB no date of this communication, even if the second	The correspondence address of the correspondence address of the communication of the communic	YS, ation.
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10 Other: 10 Other: 11 Drawing Arademya Office	Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 18-39 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 18-39 is/are rejected.	application.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: 3. Patent and Trademark Office	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	er. cepted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Aprity documents have been rule (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
I OL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20061121	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	Mail Date primal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 09/918,715 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Re: St. Croix et al

1. The amendment filed 9/25/2006 is acknowledged and entered into the record.

Accordingly, claims 11-17 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 38-

39 are newly added.

2. Claims 1-10,18-39 are pending and examined on the merits.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 102

4. The rejection of claims 1-10 and 18-39 under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by Drmanac *et al* (US Patent 6,667,391 -herein `391) is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant argues the cited reference does not anticipate the instantly claimed invention. Specifically, applicant contends the antibody taught by Drmanac *et al* does not "specifically recognize" proteins other than SEQ ID No: 23 of the `319 patent, and that it distinguishes peptides of the `319 patent exclusively. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive to overcome the rejection of record.

During patent examination, the pending claims must be "given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." The Federal Circuit's en banc decision in *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, 415 F.3d 1303, 75 USPQ2d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2005) expressly recognized that the USPTO employs the "broadest reasonable"

Art Unit: 1643

interpretation" standard. In addition, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow. This means that the words of the claim must be given their plain meaning unless the plain meaning is inconsistent with the specification. *In re Zletz*, 893 F.2d 319, 321, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989) (discussed below); Chef America, Inc. v. Lamb-Weston, Inc., 358 F.3d 1371, 1372, 69 USPQ2d 1857 (Fed. Cir. 2004). In the instant case, the specification does not define the term "specifically binds" and in light of the art accepted meaning, the phrase is given its broadest reasonable interpretation and the phrase defines the act of an antibody binding to its antigenic determinant/epitope. The term "specifically" in this instance, absent a clear definition in the specification, is not interpreted to mean exclusivity. As such antibody binding to shared or similar epitope on a different antigen such as SEQ ID No: 23 of the '319 patent would be cross-reactive with the antibody of the instant invention.

Newly added claims recite binding specificity of the antibody for SEQ ID No: 230. These claims are also anticipated by the `319 patent because in cases where an applicant claims a composition in terms of a function, property or characteristic and the composition of the prior art is the same as that of the claim but the function, property or characteristic is not explicitly disclosed by the reference, the examiner may make a rejection under both 35 U.S.C. § 102. *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255 n.4, 195 USPQ 430, 433 n.4 (CCPA 1977). In this case applicant claims a property of the antibody which cannot be readily determined from the cited prior art. The office does not have the facilities and resources to provide the factual evidence needed in order to establish that the product of the prior art does not possess the same properties of the claimed

Art Unit: 1643

product. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the burden is on the applicant to prove that the claimed product is different from those taught by the prior art and to establish patentable differences.

All other rejections are withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendments and arguments thereto as set forth in a paper filed 9/25/2006.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/918,715 Page 5

Art Unit: 1643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H. Yaen whose telephone number is 571-272-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1643 November 21, 2006

CHRISTOPHER H. YAEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Chron HY